

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,350	08/04/2003	John Stephen Smith	03424.P007D	8826	
7.	590 06/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
James C. Scheller, Jr.			MARKHAM, WESLEY D		
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			1762	1762	
Los Angeles, (CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 06/15/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/634,3	50	SMITH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Wesley D	. Markham	1762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ion appears on the	e cover sheet witl	h the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluation. 1ys, a reply within the state 1y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a rep tutory minimum of thirty vill expire SIX (6) MONT olication to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
,—	•	e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,ڪ	closed in accordance with the practice u			-			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 29-37</u> is/are pending	in the application	ı				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	• •					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•					
<u> </u>							
	Claim(s) 1-13 and 29-37 are subject to	restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.			
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	vaminer		•			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		□ objected to b	v the Evaminer			
. •/ــــ	Applicant may not request that any objection	·	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•	\ /			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by			•			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		oto illo dilabilod				
	_	6a	1-0511000				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ioreign phority un	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).			
a)ı		numanta haya har	on received				
				plication No			
			•	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International			eceived in this National Stage			
* 0	See the attached detailed Office action for	•					
	see the attached detailed Office action to	n a list of the certi	med copies not n	eceivea.			
				·			
			🗀 .				
Attachmen	4 1 4		4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
I) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	Paper No(s)	ividii Dale			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	•		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 13, drawn to a method of assembling a structure onto a substrate utilizing a slurry comprising a first plurality of elements and a second plurality of elements, classified in class 427, subclass 201.
 - II. Claim 29, drawn to a method of assembling a structure onto a substrate comprising spraying a slurry comprising a plurality of elements through a nozzle, classified in class 427, subclass 421.1.
 - III. Claims 30 35, drawn to a method of assembling a structure onto a substrate comprising dispensing a first fluid comprising a plurality of elements and projecting a second fluid though a nozzle, classified in class 427, subclass 355.
 - IV. Claim 36, drawn to a method of assembling a structure onto a substrate comprising dispensing a slurry comprising a plurality of elements and evaporating the solvent after the elements have mated, classified in class 427, subclass 372.2.
 - V. Claim 37, drawn to a method of assembling a structure onto a substrate comprising uniformly dispensing a slurry comprising a fluid and a first plurality of elements over an entire surface of a substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 180.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,350

Art Unit: 1762

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I through V are all related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each subcombination can be used separately from each other subcombination. For example, subcombinations II – V can all be carried out by using a slurry having only a first plurality of elements designed to mate with a receptor region on the substrate (no second plurality of elements not designed to mate with the receptor region(s)); subcombinations I and III – V can all be carried out without spraying the slurry through a nozzle; subcombinations I, II, IV, and V can all be carried out without spraying a second fluid through a nozzle; subcombinations I – III and V can all be carried out without evaporating the solvent after each of the elements has mated through a bonding agent; and subcombinations I – IV can all be carried out non-uniformly over only a portion of the substrate surface. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and search criteria, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Further and in relation to Group I, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (a) adding the second plurality of elements to the slurry after the slurry is dispensed onto the substrate (embodied by Claim 3), and (b) adding the second plurality of elements to the slurry before the slurry is dispensed onto the substrate (embodied by Claim 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,350

Art Unit: 1762

Q.

5. Should Group I be elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 2, and 5 – 13 are generic in Group I.

- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

 Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wesley D. Markham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1422. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WDM WDM

Wesley D Markham Examiner Art Unit 1762

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER